

# BAFIN IMPLEMENTATION GUIDELINES ON DORA

SUMMARY INFORMATION PACK

September 2024

# Executive Summary

On 19 September 2024, Germany's Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (BaFin) published a supervisory statement <sup>1</sup> covering its guidance on the implementation of the Digital Operational Resilience Act (DORA). Primarily aimed at banks in-scope of both the BaFin's BAIT/VAIT IT requirements and the DORA's information and communication technology (ICT) risk rules, the guidance also applies to institutions with similar IT supervisory expectations, such as asset managers, payments, and electronic money firms.

The BaFin intends to achieve three primary objectives with the supervisory statement:



Support in-scope firms to map and implement DORA's ICT risk and third-party risk requirements



Identify key areas of regulatory divergence where the DORA goes beyond the BaFin's requirements



Scope the desired outcomes emerging from DORA and its regulatory technical standards

This information pack summarises the BaFin's key findings on regulatory divergence to support in-scope firms with identifying the emerging outputs, across policies, frameworks, and capabilities, to be developed to embed the guidance. The supervisory statement discusses DORA under eight key themes:

1

## Governance and Organisation

DORA's requirement for an ICT risk and ICT third-party risk-driven digital resilience strategy and the expanded oversight role of the management body

2

## Information Risk and Information Security Management

The focus on ICT risk management over traditional security, heightened control requirements, and training obligations

3

## IT Operations

Requirements for operational stability and resilience of ICT systems, comprehensive change management, and enhanced data integrity

4

## ICT Business Continuity Management

Embedding a robust ICT business continuity policy, testing capabilities, and the role of a crisis management function

5

## ICT Project Management and Application Development

ICT project and change management, and expectations on system acquisition, development, and maintenance

6

## ICT Third-Party Risk Management

Concept of ICT third-party risk management, widening scope of contractual requirements, and exit strategies for critical functions

7

## Operational Information Security

Stronger network security measures and rigorous data encryption standards for protecting data in use





8

## Identity and Access Management

Identity management expectations and the expansion of access control architecture with the "need to use" principle and additional enhancements







# Overview of Guidance Notes on Implementation (1/2)

Theme	BaFin Identified Areas of Regulatory Divergence	Key Outputs Expected from In-Scope Firms <sup>2</sup>		
<b>Governance and Organisation</b> 	<p>DORA’s strategy requirements focuses on ICT risk and third-parties whilst the BaFin is cross-cutting across overall IT capabilities</p> <p>BaFin’s expectations on management body oversight is less extensive than DORA’s requirements</p> <p>Clear requirement under DORA for the management body to be aware and upskill on ICT risk, with no explicit equivalent under BaFin</p>	<p>Digital Resilience Strategy</p> <p>An ICT risk and third-parties focussed digital resilience strategy</p>	<p>Management Body Oversight</p> <p>Evidence of oversight role (e.g. updated terms of reference and meeting notes)</p>	<p>Training and Awareness</p> <p>Comprehensive ICT risk training strategy for the management body</p>
<b>Information Risk and Information Security Management</b> 	<p>DORA has a strong focus on ICT risk management against BaFin’s more traditional focus on operational risk and information security</p> <p>Greater focus on firm-wide ICT security awareness and incident communications in DORA</p>	<p>ICT Security Awareness</p> <p>ICT security awareness plan for the whole firm and management body</p>	<p>Incident Communications</p> <p>Clear communication plans for major incidents, with clear responsibilities</p>	<p>Internal Control</p> <p>Established control policies to analyse new assets, legacy tools, incidents, and testing outcomes</p>
<b>IT Operations</b> 	<p>Operational stability an expanded priority under DORA, covering ICT testing, capacity management, and processing power during stress</p> <p>BaFin has lighter requirements on data integrity checks and reconciliations compared to DORA</p>	<p>ICT Testing</p> <p>Comprehensive ICT testing plan, covering key areas like penetration, DR, failover etc.</p>	<p>Vulnerability Management</p> <p>Remediation plans for vulnerabilities identified during testing exercises</p>	<p>Data Integrity</p> <p>Outcomes of data reconciliation checks after ICT incidents to evidence data integrity</p>
<b>IT Business Continuity Management</b> 	<p>DORA mandates detailed “ICT business continuity policies” in contrast to BaFin’s traditional “business continuity plans”</p> <p>Wider range of scenarios expected under DORA testing requirements</p> <p>Establishing a crisis management function is additionally mandated under DORA</p>	<p>Policy Framework</p> <p>Comprehensive ICT BCM policy covering incident responses, containment, and recovery</p>	<p>Scenario Testing</p> <p>Testing plans which evidence a wide range of scenarios, such as insider threat, outages etc.</p>	<p>Crisis Management</p> <p>Operating model of a crisis management function, with a clearly defined mandate</p>

3 2. The outputs identified cover key desired capabilities in the BaFin guidance and is not an exhaustive list of all DORA requirements.



# Overview of Guidance Notes on Implementation (2/2)

Theme	BaFin Identified Areas of Regulatory Divergence	Key Outputs Expected from In-Scope Firms <sup>3</sup>		
<b>ICT Project Management and Application Development</b> 	<p>DORA’s ICT project methodology simplifies equivalent BaFin requirements by focussing on impact to critical functions</p> <p>DORA’s technical standards go into greater detail than BaFin on ICT system acquisition, development, and maintenance</p> <p>Handling of source code from application development is notably more stringent under DORA</p>	<b>Project Methodology</b> <p>Documented ICT project management methodology, with focus on critical function impact</p>	<b>ICT Systems Policy</b> <p>Policy framework on ICT system acquisition, development, and maintenance</p>	<b>Source Code Oversight</b> <p>Source code handling policy, including a testing plan prior to use</p>
<b>ICT Third-Party Risk Management</b> 	<p>Embedding DORA’s concept of ICT third-party risk management over traditional outsourcing risk is a key supervisory objective</p> <p>DORA goes beyond BaFin on ICT contract minimum requirements and vendor due diligence standards</p> <p>Requirements and goals for exit planning increases significantly with DORA</p>	<b>ICT Third-Party Risk Policy</b> <p>Policy framework to harmonise with ICT third-party risk scope of DORA</p>	<b>Contracts and Due Diligence</b> <p>ICT contracts and vendor due diligence harmonised with DORA’s scope</p>	<b>Exit Plans</b> <p>Non-disruptive exit plans for critical service contracts based on severe but plausible scenarios</p>
<b>Operational Information Security Requirements</b> 	<p>Network security and vulnerability handling requirements enhanced under DORA, particularly when linked to critical functions</p> <p>Data encryption rules stringer under DORA</p>	<b>Network Security</b> <p>Enhanced network security policy for ICT systems supporting critical functions</p>	<b>Encryption Testing</b> <p>Outcomes of network security tests to evidence strength of data encryption</p>	<b>Vulnerability Management</b> <p>Vulnerability management plans with logs to evidence resolution</p>
<b>Identity and Access Management Changes</b> 	<p>DORA’s technical standards stipulate concrete identify management rules and introduces the “need-to-use” principle for access control</p> <p>Access control architecture gains greater scrutiny under DORA</p>	<b>Identity Management</b> <p>Firm-wide policy with a clearly mapped identity and account lifecycle</p>	<b>Access Control</b> <p>Access control policy to cover the “need-to-use” principle and ensuring functional separation</p>	<b>Recertifications</b> <p>Calendar of access recertification for critical functions to reflect DORA’s six month refresh cycle</p>

4 3. The outputs identified cover key desired capabilities in the BaFin guidance and is not an exhaustive list of all DORA requirements.



# Contact Us



**Marty Clark**  
m.clark@reply.com



**Frederic Gielen**  
f.gielen@reply.com



**Vishwas Khanna**  
vi.khanna@reply.com





This content contains general information only and Reply or any of its legal entities are not rendering professional advice or services through this publication.  
No entity in the Reply organization has any responsibility, or owes any duty to any person, in respect of this content.